#### REMARKS

# A. Claim Amendments Consistent With Specification

In response to the Office Action, the specification and claims have been thoroughly reviewed. Independent claim 18 has been amended as have selected ones of its dependent claims. Further, new dependent claims 24 - 38 have been added. New method claims (independent claim 39 and dependent claims 40 - 52) have been added.

As will be discussed, Applicants submit the claims now distinguish over the cited art and address all objections of the Examiner for reasons that will be discussed.

In making the claim amendments, Applicant is mindful that claims need be supported by the specification. For the convenience of the Examiner, the following table correlates newly presented claim terms and features to the specification.

Claim	Claim Term (Paraphrased)	Specification Page/Line	Remarks
18, 39	Flexible, interconnected, elongated members	12/22	Strands are shown as long interconnected members Longitudinal axes
19, 41	Stainless steel	13/9	Stainless steel
20	Elongated members interwoven	12/25	Strands 21a, 21b interwoven
21	Different stretch properties in different axis	12/28-30	Different degree of stretch in response to a force dependent upon axis of force
22	Constrain lower portion	10/6	Constrain lower portion
23	Dimensioned to surround heart	10/3-5	Dimensioned to be slipped around heart
24	elongated members formed of a plurality of fibers	12/20	Fibers 20 formed into strands 21a, 21b
25	Open areas	12/25 13/25	Open cell Open areas
26	Lining	14/13	Anti-fibrosis lining
27	Anti-fibrosis	14/13	Anti-fibrosis lining
28	Coating on elongated members	14/14	Anti-fibrosis coating on fibers
29	Anti-fibrosis	14/14	Anti-fibrosis coating
30, 47	Surround valvular annulus	10/18	Assuring coverage of valvular annulus
31, 48	Surround ventricles	10/8	Cover lower ventricular extremities

		11/10	Surround ventricles
32, 49	Surround atria	10/12	Cover upper portion
33, 50	Bent elongated members straightening as jacket expands	13/3	Construction results in expansion even though material of fibers may be non-expandable  Bends in fibers shown in Fig. 6  Fibers described as non-expandable
34, 40	Metal alloy	13/9	Examiner will note stainless still is an allow
35, 46	Collapsible for minimally invasive placement	14/18	Passed through tube in minimally invasive procedure
36	Open apex	11/5-8	Open apex – Fig. 4 and 4A
37	Closed apex	11/3	Closed apex - fig. 3 and 3A
38	Maximum adjusted volume	11/28	Maximum adjusted volume
42	Placed on epicardium	11/10	Myocardium in direct opposition to jacket
43	Placed over pericardium	14/13	Over pericardium
44	Avoidance of impairment of cardiac function	12/4	Cardiac function not impaired
45	Low resistance to diastolic filling	12/9-12	Diastolic filling not impaired
50, 52	Attach to heart	11/15-19	Suture to heart

# B. Title of Application

In response to the Examiner's objection to the title, a new title is added to the application to correspond with the claim focus.

## C. Priority Reference

The introductory paragraph of the specification is amended to update a claim to priority.

## D. Rejections Based on 35 USC Sec. 101

The Examiner has rejected claim 23 on the basis that the claim purports to include a human body portion. Claim 23 is amended to recite the dimensions of the device and not to positively recite the combination of the jacket and the heart.

## 1. Apparatus Claims

The Examiner has rejected the claims based on U.S. Patent No. 5,224,363 to Sutton. Applicant has amended the claims in a manner believed to clearly distinguish over Shibamoto. Specifically, in claim 1, Applicant has amended the claim to recite that the jacket material is dimensioned so as to conform to the external geometry of the heart and surrounding at least the ventricles.

Applicant respectfully submits that reciting the sizing of a device with respect to human anatomy is of patentable distinction over the prior art. Sutton shows no such sizing.

In Ex parte Crigler, 125 USPQ 448 (PTO Bd. of App. 1959), the Board held, in a closely analogous case, claim language describing a size of a claimed element with reference to human anatomy was entitled to patentable weight. The Board reversed a final rejection and approved claim language stating "the adhesive on the surface is dimensioned so as to be attached by adhesion to continuous and spaced ear surfaces." 125 USPQ at p. 451 (emphasis added). The Board reasoned the quoted language "constitutes a structural limitation as to the size of the attaching means and therefore distinguishes from the [cited art]. Although the size of human ears varies, it is our opinion that neither [of the references] is dimensioned to fit on an ear and if either were made small enough to fit an ear, each would lose its usefulness for its disclosed purposes. 125 USPQ at p. 451 (emphasis added).

Sutton describes a metal glove for a hand. The glove has extending finger portions. There is nothing in Sutton regarding sizing of the glove and nothing to suggest modification of sizing to fit onto a human art in such a manner as to be snugly placed on the heart to treat cardiomyopathy in the manner described in the present invention.

With respect to claim 25 (open areas), Sutton describes a fluid impervious glove.

#### 2. Method Claims

In addition to the foregoing, Applicant has submitted an independent method claim (Claim 29) incorporating the structure of claim 1 in a method for treating a heart disorder by taking the device of claim 1 and placing it on a human heart. Applicant respectfully submits

there is nothing in Sutton to suggest taking a device taught for receiving a human hand (a clearly non-sterile environment) and placing such a device on the human heart in the close confines of a patient's chest.

#### F. Double Patenting Rejection

Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer disclaiming the term of a patent issuing on this application to terminate with any patent issuing on commonly assigned and co-pending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/639,875.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.

P.O. Box 2903

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903

(612) 332-5300

Date: 3 May 2005

Timothy R. Conrad Reg. No. 30,164

TRC:lmb